Valade, L., Bousseau, M., Gleizes, A. & Cassoux, P. (1983). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 110–112.

Yao, T.-M., Zuo, J.-L., You, X.-Z., Fun, H.-K., Sivakumar, K. & Yip, B.-C. (1995). Inorg. Chem. Submitted.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 315-317

Bis(dimethylglyoximato-*N*,*N'*)(isopropyl)-(triphenylphosphine)rhodium(III)

Michal Dunaj-Jurčo,^a Dušan Mikloš,^a Ivan Potočňák,^a Maik Ludwig^b and Dirk Steinborn^b

^aDepartment of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, Slovak Technical University, Radlinského 9, 81237 Bratislava, Slovakia, and ^bInstitut für Anorganische Chemie, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Weinbergweg 16, 06120 Halle, Germany

(Received 7 March 1995; accepted 6 June 1995)

Abstract

The structure of the title compound, $[Rh(C_4H_7N_2O_2)_2-\{CH(CH_3)_2\}\{P(C_6H_5)_3\}]$, consists of discrete complexes in which the Rh atom has distorted octahedral coordination. The two dimethylglyoximato ligands lie in the equatorial plane and the isopropyl and triphenylphosphine species occupy the axial positions. The Rh atom is displaced by 0.096 (1) Å from the mean plane through the four oxime N donor atoms towards the P atom. The average Rh—N distance is 1.975 (10) Å, while the axial Rh—P and Rh—C distances are 2.489 (2) and 2.146 (6) Å, respectively. Comparison of the results with those obtained previously for other compounds of this type indicates that the *trans* influence of R in the axial fragment Ph₃P—Rh—R is determined by its σ -donor power.

Comment

This work is a continuation of a project aimed at the elucidation of the mutual influence of ligands in organometallic compounds (Steinborn, 1992). The *trans* influence of σ -organo ligands is of particular interest. We have demonstrated (Steinborn & Ludwig, 1993*a*; Ludwig & Steinborn, 1996) that in complexes [Rh(dmgH)₂(PPh₃)*R*] (dmgH = monoanion of dimethylglyoxime, PPh₃ = triphenylphosphine, *R* = organo group) the *trans* influence of *R*, as measured by ¹J(¹⁰³Rh-³¹P) coupling constants, is in the unusual order ^{'Bu} \gg cyclohexyl > ⁱPr > CPr=CH₂>CH₂OMe > CH=CHPr = ⁱBu = ⁿPr = Ph = Et = ⁿBu = CH=CHPh > CH=CH₂> CH₂SiMe₃ = Me >CH₂Cl = CH₂SPh = Bz = CH₂Br \gg C=CPh. To investigate the dependence of the *trans* influence mea-

©1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved sured by NMR spectroscopy on the structure of the complexes, we decided to study the structures of the complexes by systematically changing the type of hybridization of the donor orbital (R = Et, CH=CH₂ and C=CPh) as well as the branching within the alkyl ligands (R = Me, Et, 'Pr and 'Bu) (C=CPh: Dunaj-Jurčo, Kettmann, Steinborn & Ludwig, 1995; CH=CH₂: Dunaj-Jurčo, Kettmann, Steinborn & Ludwig, 1994; Et: Kettmann, Dunaj-Jurčo, Steinborn & Ludwig, 1994; 'Bu: Kettmann, Dunaj-Jurčo, Ludwig & Steinborn, 1996). Here we report the structure of the isopropyl complex, (I).



The [Rh(dmgH)₂(PPh₃)[']Pr] complex crystallizes with discrete molecules, in which the Rh atom exhibits distorted octahedral coordination, with four oxime N donors in the equatorial positions. The two dimethylglyoximato ligands are stabilized by two intramolecular hydrogen bonds, acting between O1 and O4 $[O \cdot O = 2.686 (7) \text{ Å}]$ and between O2 and O3 $[O \cdot O = 2.692 (7) \text{ Å}]$. On the basis of the refined H-atom positions, both hydrogen bridges in the Rh(dmgH)₂ unit are asymmetric $[O1 \cdot \cdot H4 = 1.93 (7), O4-H4 = 0.78 (7), O3 \cdot \cdot H2 = 2.01 (7), O2-H2 = 0.69 (7) \text{ Å}]$.

The four Rh-N bond distances range from 1.963 (5) to 1.990(5) Å, with a mean value of 1.975(10) Å. This value is larger than those of the vinyl and the tert-butyl derivatives [1.957(2)] and 1.955(3)Å. respectively (Dunaj-Jurčo et al., 1994b; Kettmann et al., 1996)] but is similar to those of the phenylacetylide and methyl complexes [1.971(2) and 1.976(9)Å, respectively (Dunaj-Jurčo et al., 1994a; Potočňák et al., 1996)]. As found in other derivatives of the series, the two dmgH⁻ ligands are tilted away from the triphenylphosphine ligand so that their normal vectors make an angle of $9.74(7)^{\circ}$ with each other. As a result, though the four oxime N donors are coplanar to within ± 0.002 Å, the Rh atom is displaced by 0.0961 (10) Å from their mean plane toward the P atom. The dmgH⁻ ligands themselves are also not strictly planar; the lack of planarity originates from twisting of the two halves of the ligand about the central C-C bond, the dihedral angle formed by the planes O1-N1-C1-C3 and O2-N2-C2-C4 being 2.9 (3)° and that formed by



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) drawing of the title compound showing the atom-numbering scheme. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level.

the planes O3—N3—C5—C7 and O4—N4—C6—C8 being $3.6(3)^{\circ}$.

As mentioned above, the *trans* influence of the axial ligands, *i.e.* the σ -bonded isopropyl group and PPh₃ moiety, is of special interest here. The Rh—P and Rh—C bond lengths are 2.489 (2) and 2.146 (6) Å, respectively, and the P—Rh—C angle is 176.1 (2)°. Comparison with other [Rh(dmgH)₂(PPh₃)R] complexes shows that the Rh—C bond length is somewhat longer than that found for the methyl and the ethyl derivatives [2.119 (4) and 2.064 (7) Å, respectively] but it is considerably shorter than that found for the *tert*-butyl derivative [2.216 (3) Å], which is in line with the sterical demand of the *tert*-butyl ligand.

The Rh—C distance found in the similar compound $[Rh(dmgH)_2(pyridine)C(CH_3)_2]$ is shorter [2.085 (3) Å] than the Rh—C distance found in the title compound, in agreement with the smaller *trans* influence of the pyridine ligand in comparison with that of the PPh₃ group (Pahor *et al.*, 1990). The Rh—P bond distance is determined by the electronic influence of *R* in the axial direction. This is demonstrated by comparison of the Rh—P bond length in the present complex [2.489 (2) Å], which is similar that in the *tert*-butyl complex [2.492 (1) Å], with those in the methyl and ethyl analogues [2.454 (1) and 2.461 (2) Å, respectively]. The former are longer obviously due to increased σ -donating power of the isopropyl and *tert*-butyl groups relative to the methyl and the ethyl groups.

Experimental

Preparation of the title compound is described by Steinborn & Ludwig (1993b). The crystal density D_m was measured by flotation in water-KI.

Crystal data

 $[Rh(C_4H_7N_2O_2)_2(C_3H_7)-(C_{18}H_{15}P)]\\ M_r = 638.49\\ Triclinic\\ P\overline{1}\\ a = 8.815 (2) Å\\ b = 10.154 (2) Å\\ c = 17.277 (4) Å\\ \alpha = 76.49 (2)^{\circ}\\ \beta = 87.98 (2)^{\circ}\\ \gamma = 74.55 (2)^{\circ}\\ V = 1448.7 (6) Å^3\\ Z = 2\\ D_x = 1.464 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}\\ D_m = 1.46 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Syntex $P2_1$ diffractometer $\theta - 2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (Pavelčík, 1993) $T_{min} = 0.396, T_{max} =$ 0.520 3802 measured reflections 3802 independent reflections 3211 observed reflections $[l > 2\sigma(l)]$

[1 > 20(1)]

Refinement

Rh

Pl

NI

N2 N3

N4

01

02 03

04

C1 C2 C5 C6

C3 C4 C7

C8

C11

Refinement on F^2 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.049$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 2.433 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0469$ $wR(F^2) = 0.1256$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.646 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.073Extinction correction: none 3798 reflections Atomic scattering factors 362 parameters from International Tables H atoms: see below for Crystallography (1992, $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0754P)^2]$ Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and + 4.0832P] 6.1.1.4where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

х	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
0.14417 (5)	0.09184 (4)	0.23172 (3)	0.0364 (2)
0.1467 (2)	0.31117 (15)	0.27194 (8)	0.0369 (4)
0.1847 (6)	-0.0366 (5)	0.3395 (3)	0.0441 (12)
-0.0712 (5)	0.0965 (5)	0.2666 (3)	0.0458 (12)
0.1040 (6)	0.2033 (5)	0.1209 (3)	0.0446 (12)
0.3603 (5)	0.0706 (5)	0.1928 (3)	0.0416 (12)
0.3294 (5)	-0.1008(4)	0.3667 (3)	0.0548 (11)
-0.2018 (6)	0.1763 (6)	0.2203 (3)	0.0618 (14)
-0.0402 (5)	0.2707 (4)	0.0938 (2)	0.0553 (11)
0.4892 (5)	-0.0074 (5)	0.2398 (3)	0.0552 (12)
0.0601 (8)	-0.0589 (6)	0.3776 (4)	0.050(2)
-0.0883 (8)	0.0188 (7)	0.3352 (4)	0.052 (2)
0.2283 (8)	0.2041 (6)	0.0762 (4)	0.048 (2)
0.3771 (7)	0.1277 (6)	0.1190 (4)	0.048 (2)
0.0727 (10)	-0.1622 (8)	0.4555 (4)	0.073 (2)
-0.2448 (9)	0.0091 (10)	0.3664 (5)	0.084 (2)
0.2125 (9)	0.2732 (8)	-0.0100 (4)	0.064 (2)
0.5336 (8)	0.1163 (8)	0.0822 (4)	0.070(2)
0.2383 (7)	0.3088 (6)	0.3660 (3)	0.0419 (14)

Mo K α radiation $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å Cell parameters from 21 reflections $\theta = 6.34-12.63^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.672$ mm⁻¹ T = 293 (2) K Prism $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.25$ mm Brownish yellow

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 22.50^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -22 \rightarrow 22$ 2 standard reflections monitored every 98 reflections intensity decay: none

C12	0.3513 (7)	0.1936 (6)	0.4050 (3)	0.0439 (14)
C13	0.4287 (8)	0.1981 (7)	0.4715 (4)	0.051 (2)
C14	0.3956 (9)	0.3137 (8)	0.5001 (4)	0.065 (2)
C15	0.2822 (13)	0.4258 (9)	0.4638 (6)	0.114 (4)
C16	0.2043 (11)	0.4252 (8)	0.3967 (5)	0.097 (3)
C21	-0.0475 (7)	0.4309 (6)	0.2784 (3)	0.0426 (14)
C22	-0.1515 (8)	0.3792 (7)	0.3299 (4)	0.055 (2)
C23	-0.2945 (9)	0.4642 (10)	0.3431 (5)	0.072 (2)
C24	-0.3362 (10)	0.6010(11)	0.3042 (5)	0.083 (3)
C25	-0.2366 (11)	0.6560 (9)	0.2535 (5)	0.083 (3)
C26	-0.0917 (9)	0.5720 (7)	0.2404 (4)	0.064 (2)
C31	0.2563 (7)	0.4090 (5)	0.1990 (3)	0.0401 (14)
C32	0.4101 (7)	0.4017 (6)	0.2141 (4)	0.0475 (15)
C33	0.4989 (9)	0.4675 (7)	0.1572 (4)	0.060 (2)
C34	0.4255 (9)	0.5452 (7)	0.0858 (4)	0.063 (2)
C35	0.2723 (9)	0.5530 (7)	0.0688 (4)	0.057 (2)
C36	0.1883 (8)	0.4829 (6)	0.1242 (3)	0.048 (2)
C40	0.1368 (9)	-0.0887 (7)	0.1891 (5)	0.067 (2)
C41	0.0192 (13)	-0.0651 (9)	0.1258 (6)	0.110 (4)
C42	0.2441 (18)	-0.2119 (10)	0.2171 (10)	0.203 (9)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Rh—N2	1.963 (5)	P1-C11	1.832 (6)
Rh—N4	1.972 (5)	N101	1.315 (6)
Rh—N3	1.974 (5)	N2—O2	1.374 (7)
Rh—N1	1.990 (5)	N3—O3	1.316 (6)
RhC40	2.146 (6)	N404	1.365 (6)
Rh—P1	2.489 (2)	C40—C42	1.346 (11)
P1-C31	1.830 (6)	C40-C41	1.468 (10)
P1-C21	1.833 (6)		
N2RhN4	174.3 (2)	N3—Rh—P1	88.19 (14
N2-Rh-N3	101.1 (2)	N1—Rh—P1	97.31 (14
N4—Rh—N3	78.7 (2)	C40-Rh-P1	176.1 (2)
N2—Rh—N1	78.7 (2)	C31—P1—C21	107.0 (3)
N4—Rh—N1	100.9 (2)	C31—P1—C11	101.7 (3)
N3-Rh-N1	174.5 (2)	C21-P1-C11	100.2 (3)
N2RhC40	85.9 (3)	C31—P1—Rh	108.8 (2)
N4-Rh-C40	88.4 (3)	C21-P1-Rh	115.3 (2)
N3-Rh-C40	88.0 (2)	C11—P1—Rh	122.3 (2)
N1-Rh-C40	86.6 (2)	C42-C40-C41	124.3 (7)
N2—Rh—P1	94.33 (15)	C42-C40-Rh	119.3 (6)
N4—Rh—P1	91.33 (14)	C41-C40-Rh	116.2 (5)

Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors and absorption using XP21 (with a modified version of EMPABS) (Pavelčík, 1993). The structure was solved by heavy-atom methods with XFPS (Pavelčík, Rizzoli & Andreeti, 1990) and subsequent Fourier syntheses using SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Anisotropic displacement parameters were refined for all non-H atoms. Highly anisotropic displacement parameters of atoms C41 and C42 indicate possible disorder of the isopropyl group orientation around the Rh-C40 bond, but splitting of C41 and C42 into two or more corresponding pairs did not yield better results. Both (O)H atoms were located from the difference Fourier map and refined with isotropic displacement parameters fixed at 0.06 Å^2 . The (C)H atoms were apparent in the difference map, but they were included in the refinement as riding atoms in calculated positions with isotropic displacement parameters. The only exception were the isopropyl H atoms which were not included because of high anisotropic displacement parameters of C41 and C42 atoms. $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 2.4 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3} \text{ near Rh} (1.57 \text{ Å})$ allows no reasonable crystallochemical explanation and is considered to be false. Geometrical analysis was performed using PARST (Nardelli, 1983) and SHELXL93.

Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie and the Ministry of Education, Slovak Republik (grant No. 1/1412/94), is gratefully acknowledged. Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: KA1124). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Dunaj-Jurčo, M., Kettmann, V., Steinborn, D. & Ludwig, M. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1427–1430.
- Dunaj-Jurčo, M., Kettmann, V., Steinborn, D. & Ludwig, M. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 210–213.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kettmann, V., Dunaj-Jurčo, M., Steinborn, D. & Ludwig, M. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1239–1241.
- Kettmann, V., Dunaj-Jurčo, M., Steinborn, D. & Ludwig, M. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52. In the press.
- Ludwig, M. & Steinborn, D. (1996). In preparation.
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Pahor, N. B., Dreos-Garlatti, R., Geremia, S., Randaccio, L., Tauzher, G. & Zangrando, E. (1990). *Inorg. Chem.* 29, 3437–3441.
- Pavelčík, F. (1993). XP21. Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia.
- Pavelčík, F., Rizzoli, C. & Andreetti, G. D. (1990). XFPS. A Computer Program for Automatic Structure Determination. Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia.
- Potočňák, I., Dunaj-Jurčo, M., Ludwig, M. & Steinborn, D. (1996). In preparation.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Steinborn, D. (1992). Angew. Chem. 104, 392–412; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 31, 384–408.
- Steinborn, D. & Ludwig, M. (1993a). Contributions to the Development of Coordination Chemistry, Fourteenth Conference on Coordination Chemistry, pp. 343-348. Smolenice, Slovakia.
- Steinborn, D. & Ludwig, M. (1993b). J. Organomet. Chem. 463, 65-71.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 317-319

Tetraethylammonium Bis(isothiocyanato)triphenylstannate

PHILIP J. Cox^a and James L. Wardell^b

^aSchool of Pharmacy, The Robert Gordon University, Schoolhill, Aberdeen AB9 1FR, Scotland, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Aberdeen University, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen AB9 2UE, Scotland

(Received 22 May 1995; accepted 11 July 1995)

Abstract

The $[Sn(C_6H_5)_3(NCS)_2]^-$ organotin anion of the title compound, $(C_8H_{20}N)[Sn(C_6H_5)_3(NCS)_2]$, lies on a crystallographic twofold axis and the trigonal bipyramidal